What’s Covered in this Slide Show?

- Goals of the Uniform Guidance (2 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) part 200)
- Department adoption and preparation for implementing the guidance
- Timeline – when changes impact your grant
- Guided tour of the Uniform Guidance and key changes reflected in it
  - Focused deeper-dive on selected topics
- Resources for technical assistance and training
Streamline and consolidate eight existing OMB Circulars
- Reduce administrative burden, increase flexibility, and improve outcomes

How Uniform Guidance Supports Key Policy Reforms
- Emphasis on performance
- Efficient use of technology and shared services
- Consistent and transparent treatment of costs
- Setting standard business processes and data definitions
Uniform Guidance Goals and Key Take-Aways

- How Uniform Guidance Supports Key Policy Reforms
  - Encouraging family-friendly policies
  - Strengthening oversight
  - Targeting waste, fraud, and abuse
  - Increased flexibility for and accountability by grantees

- Effective dates for key changes
- Department providing training at no cost
Department Activities in Preparation

- The Department worked closely with OMB to prepare for implementation of the Uniform Guidance:
  - New guidance adopted / EDGAR regulations modified
  - Non-regulatory policies being updated
  - Training and resources for Department staff and grantees are being developed
The Uniform Guidance (2 CFR Part 200) is now in effect and applies to:

- New and Continuation grants awarded on or after December 26, 2014
  - Audit and indirect cost changes take effect when the grantee’s next fiscal year after December 26, 2014 starts
  - Non-Federal entities have a one year grace period to comply with procurement requirements (see OMB’s FAQs)

- Funds carried over into a continuation grant on or after December 26, 2014
Organization of the Uniform Guidance

- Subpart A – Acronyms and Definitions
- Subpart B – General Provisions
- Subpart C – Pre-Award Requirements
- Subpart D – Post-Award Requirements
Organization of the Uniform Guidance

(continued)

- Subpart E – Cost Principles
- Subpart F – Audit Requirements
- Appendices III-V and VII – Indirect Cost/Cost Allocation Plans
- Appendix XI – Compliance Supplement
## From Circulars to the Uniform Guidance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grants made prior to 12/26/2014</th>
<th>Grants made on or after 12/26/2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Circulars A-133, A-50</td>
<td>Uniform Guidance Subpart F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDGAR Parts 75 to 99</td>
<td>EDGAR Parts 75-79 and 81-99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDGAR Parts 74 and 80</td>
<td>Become part of the Uniform Guidance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Key Changes

Pre-Award
Department of Education Implementation

- Updated the GAN and the forms to align with 2 CFR Part 200:
  - GAN
    - Includes updated citations
    - Includes indirect cost rate
  - Forms: Updated Department-wide forms
    - Includes indirect cost rate
    - Includes certification language

Grant Award Notification (GAN) and Department of Education forms
Definition of Grants and Cooperative Agreements

- Updated EDGAR Part 77 to reflect definitions in 2 CFR Part 200.
- EDGAR Part 77 also revised to add definitions of “grant” and “award” so that program regulations can continue to use these terms to cover both grants and cooperative agreements.
Risk Assessment

- The Uniform Guidance requires all Federal agencies to conduct a risk assessment prior to making awards.
  - The Department was conducting these assessments for discretionary grant awards prior to the implementation of the Uniform Guidance.

- The Department will continue to use the high risk designation under 2 CFR 3474.10(b). The Department will use the standards in the Uniform Guidance to impose specific or high-risk conditions, as appropriate, on grants.
Key Changes

Post-Award
Performance Measurement

- The Uniform Guidance focuses on cost-effective practices and achieving performance goals.
  - The Department is already using OMB-approved standard information collections and does not anticipate significant changes with regard to performance reporting.
  - The Department already has performance measures in place for all programs which meet the requirements. Any changes proposed to those performance measures will be announced to the public.
Key Changes to Procurement


- New requirements for oversight of procurement dollars (2 CFR 200.324) include such changes as:
  - The entity must make available, upon request from the Department, technical specifications on proposed procurements to ensure the item or service is for an item in the approved grant application.
  - The entity must make pre-procurement process documents available if certain conditions exist.
Deeper Dive: Procurement Standards

2 CFR Part 200 Subpart D
§ 200.317 - 326
Methods of Procurement

The non-Federal entity must use one of the following methods described in § 200.320:

- Micro-purchases,
- Small purchase procedures,
- Sealed bids,
- Competitive proposals, or
- Non-competitive proposals (severely limited).
Competition

- All procurement transactions must be conducted in a manner providing full and open competition
- Real or perceived unfair advantages must be avoided
- New change to address real or perceived unfair advantage impacts certain contractors
Organizational Conflicts of Interest

Section 200.319(a) introduction provides that contractors that develop or draft specifications, requirements, statements of work, or invitations for bids or requests for proposals must be excluded from competing for such procurements (this insider information is the very essence of organizational conflicts of interests).
Examples of Restricting Competition

- Placing unreasonable requirements on firms to qualify,
- Unnecessary experience and excessive bonding,
- Noncompetitive pricing practices between firms, and
- Specifying only a “brand name” product instead of allowing an equal product to be offered.
Key Changes

Cost Principles
Time and Effort: Flexible and Accountable

- Historically, a key area of audit findings

- New flexibility: “system of internal control” for documenting personnel compensation:
  - Requirements for personnel compensation are found at 2 CFR 200.430-431
  - Alternative accounting processes are allowable for sampling in-time distribution reporting
  - Federal agencies may approve alternative accounting methods for blended funds
Deeper Dive: Internal Controls

Key to Time and Effort
GAO’s Internal Control Standards

- A Control Environment that sets the tone for the organization. This influences the control consciousness of staff. It is the foundation for all other components of internal control.

- A Risk Assessment process that involves the identification and analysis of relevant risks to the achievement of objectives, and forming a basis for how the risks should be managed.
GAO’s Internal Control Standards

- **Control Activities** that include the policies and procedures that help ensure management directives are carried out and documented.

- **Information and Communication** systems or processes that support the identification, and exchange of information in a way and time frame that enable people to successfully carry out their responsibilities.

- **Monitoring** processes used to assess the quality of internal control performance over time.
## Control Activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Control</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Examples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preventive</td>
<td>Control that helps management to avoid issues before they occur.</td>
<td>• Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Review and Approval Process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Segregation of Duties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detective</td>
<td>Control that discover issues after they occur.</td>
<td>• Reconciliation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Trace Transaction to Source Document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Monitor Actual vs. Budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General (IT)</td>
<td>Policies and procedures that apply to all or a large portion of an</td>
<td>• Security Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>organization’s IT systems.</td>
<td>• Logical and Physical Access</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Configuration Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Application (IT)</td>
<td>Control that is incorporated into computer applications to ensure data</td>
<td>• Edit Checks for Input Data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>accuracy and integrity.</td>
<td>• Interface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Data Management System Control</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Information and Communication

- Management communicates relevant and timely information to support the internal control system

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Audience</td>
<td>The targeted recipients of the information anticipated to be delivered.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nature of Information</td>
<td>The type of information being communicated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Availability</td>
<td>The accessibility of information to the audience.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost</td>
<td>The amount of resources needed to communicate the information.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Monitoring

- Management performs evaluations to ensure the design and operation of controls are efficient and effective

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Common Terminology</th>
<th>Description/Examples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ongoing Monitoring</td>
<td>• Day-to-day management oversight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Regular comparisons and reviews (leverage automated tools)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Separate Evaluations</td>
<td>• Internal/external audits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Periodic self-assessments based on risk (control testing and evaluation)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control Deficiency</td>
<td>A potential or actual internal control issue or an opportunity to strengthen the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>organization’s internal control system.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corrective Action</td>
<td>Action item planned by management to remediate identified internal control deficiencies in a specific time frame.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Cost Principles: Reminder

- Basic standards for allowability remain the same.

- Costs must be:
  - Necessary
  - Reasonable
  - Allocable
  - Documented
The Uniform Guidance lists most prior approval requirements at 2 CFR 200.407. These did not change.

New Requirement: Certifications required for fiscal reports, payment requests, and indirect cost proposals (2 CFR 200.415)

Family-friendly Flexibility: limited dependent care costs at conferences (2 CFR 200.432) and travel (2 CFR 200.474)
- Does not override the Department guidance regarding conferences

Clarification – Materials and supplies: direct charges
- Computer equipment <$5,000 specifically treated as supply (2 CFR 200.453)
Prior approval requirements (§ 200.407)

Identifies when grantees must consult with the Department and receive approval BEFORE making a change to the grant.

Expanded Authorities (§ 200.308)

Identifies when the requirement for prior approval before making a change is waived.
Key Changes

Audit Requirements
Key Changes in Audit Requirements

- Threshold for a required Single Audit has increased to $750,000 in expended funds
- Questioned costs <$25,000 are no longer required to be reported.
  - Fewer audits and findings will require Federal agencies and grantees to modify risk assessments and monitoring plans, to use alternative resources
  - Agreed-upon procedures are one means available to address grantees in the $500,000 to $750,000 range
Key Changes in Audit Requirements

- The entity being audited will be required to submit its audit electronically to the Federal Audit Clearinghouse, which makes all audits available to all funding agencies.
- States will continue to resolve subrecipient audits.
Key Changes

Indirect Costs
Key Changes in Indirect Costs

- Flexibility for grantees that have never had a negotiated indirect cost rate: de minimis rate of 10% Modified Total Direct Costs (MTDC)

- Under §§ 75.561 and 76.561 procedures, States and LEAs not eligible for the de minimis rate

- Cannot use under restricted rate or training grant programs

- De minimus rate not to be confused with Department’s temporary rate of 10% direct salaries and wages
Key Changes in Indirect Costs

- Grantees that have never had an indirect cost rate may use the temporary rate for 90 days, then submit a proposal for negotiation
- OR use de minimis rate BUT
  - Grants subject to “supplement not supplant” must use restricted rate
  - Training grants must use 8% MTDC rate under § 75.562
Key Changes in Indirect Costs

- New flexibility: Grantees with a negotiated rate may apply for an extension of up to 4 years.
  - Reduces the requirement to renegotiate annually
  - Requests for extensions must be submitted 60 days earlier than due date for indirect cost proposals (4 months after the end of the grantee’s fiscal year)
Resources
Resources

- Your Department program officer
- The Department’s one-stop shop for information: Uniform Guidance Technical Assistance for ED Grantees
  - Includes links to COFAR, OMB and EDGAR
  - Includes FAQs
  - Includes specific crosswalks between Parts 74 and 80 of EDGAR and the Uniform Guidance
- Email questions to: uniformgrantguidanceimplementation@ed.gov
Resources

- The Department is developing additional training and resources on:
  - Cost Principles
  - Internal Controls
  - Audit Requirements
  - Indirect Cost Requirements
  - Procurement Requirements
  - Risk and Subaward Management